

X27a LAE fraction at $z \sim 3\text{--}6$ down to $M_{1500} \simeq -16.5$ mag probed by MUSE

Haruka Kusakabe^{1,2}, Jeremy Blaizot³, Thibault Garel², Johan Richard³, Roland Bacon³, Hanae Inami³, Bruno Guiderdoni³, Takuya Hashimoto^{4,5}, and Alyssa Drake⁶. 1: The Univ. of Tokyo, 2: Observatoire de Genève, 3: CRAL, 4: Osaka Sangyo Univ., 5: NAOJ, 6: MPIA

The redshift (z) evolution of Ly α emitter (LAE) fraction among galaxies, $X(\text{LAE})$, has been used to probe the evolution of the HI gas fraction of the intergalactic medium (IGM) at the end of reionization. $X(\text{LAE})$ has been found to increase from $z = 3$ to 6, and to decrease at $z > 6$. However, uncertainties in the measurement of $X(\text{LAE})$ are still matters of debate (e.g., Stark et al., 2011; Tilvi et al., 2014; De Barros et al., 2017). In the last ASJ meeting (X42a), we have shown our $X(\text{LAE})$ with Ly α equivalent width larger than 25 Å and UV magnitude (M_{1500}) of -21.75 to -18.75 mag at $z \sim 3\text{--}6$ with MUSE data (e.g., Bacon et al., 2017; Inami et al., 2017). It is consistent with those in De Barros et al. (2017) and Haro et al. (2018) at $z \sim 4\text{--}6$, while it is lower than that in Stark et al. (2011) at $z \sim 4\text{--}5$. Recently, we find that the discrepancy does not arise from a difference in M_{1500} distribution between the samples. It possibly arises from the difference in the methods including completeness correction. In this talk, we discuss the possible origins of such discrepancy and interpret our $X(\text{LAE})$. We also show our $X(\text{LAE})$ as a function of M_{1500} down to an unprecedented depth, ~ -16.5 mag. We compare it with a cosmological galaxy evolution model in Garel et al. (2015) and discuss the implications for reionization.